FINCHLEY & GOLDERS GREEN RESIDENTS FORUM

15 September 2010

ISSUES TO BE CONSIDERED AT THE FORUM MEETING

	Issue Raised	Response
1.	Petition for the reinstatement of the bus stop in Cricklewood Lane Lead petitioner: Mr Heavey – 200 signatures	Further to officers meeting with residents and Councillors on site a request was sent to London Buses and the Police to review their position on re-providing a bus stop in the area. As a result of the request, on Tuesday 7th September officers met on site with both parties. This was the fourth time parties had met with a view to establishing whether this issue is resolvable, all options were discussed including those put forward by residents however due to the concerns previously identified and explained to those present at the meeting, unfortunately a suitable location could not be agreed.
2.	Former Galtymore Site – Junction Cricklewood Broadway and Depot Approach, NW2 I am very concerned that the huge development site (formerly the Galtymore) on the junction of Cricklewood Broadway and Depot Approach has become an eyesore and is used as a rubbish dump. The site dominates this part of Cricklewood Broadway. Several local residents' groups are working together to try to improve the Broadway and this site, along with the Travel Lodge site, are not properly maintained and do nothing whatsoever to enhance the area. The hoarding surrounding the Galtymore site does not reach the pavement (I think the bottom panels may have been removed) so bags of rubbish, bottles, etc are being pushed into the site from the pavement on Cricklewood Broadway and can be seen as you walk along the pavement. There is a roll of razor wire lying discarded on the site, at the end nearest the car wash in Depot Approach. I believe this could have been left there by the Car Wash which has razor wire around its yard. Surely razor wire cannot simply be dumped in the open?	The Council's Planning Enforcement Team and Environmental Health service have in the past tried to improve the situation at 194 Cricklewood Lane. Unfortunately, the Council officers have been unable to trace the owners who are reputed to be 'involved' with the old 'Galtymore Dance Hall but enquiries led them to Dublin where the holding Company is registered. Applications have been made in the past by 'Maiden' advertising for large billboard advertising hoardings in 2002 and 2004 (1 x 48 sheet and 1 x 96 sheet) which were refused and dismissed on appeal. Several advertising hoardings were put up illegally by a further company in early 2008 but were removed on the Council's insistence soon thereafter. There are no recent planning applications relating to the site in whole or in part. There is currently no activity on site. Council officers will continue to try and establish who the owners of the site are but until this is known it will prove difficult to take action that

	Issue Raised	Response
	The Galtymore was demolished years ago - perhaps as long ago as 10	seeks to have the land cleared of all waste and debris and
	years. To my knowledge, no planning application has been made for this	properly secured.
	site. I assume that whoever owns the site must be required to maintain it	The Travel Lodge site was given consent for a new 96 bed
	to a reasonable standard and not allow it to be used for rubbish dumping.	hotel in March 2010 for which we are informed by Travel
	I would be very grateful if you would contact the owners of the site and	Lodge that work will commence shortly and the site will be
	ask them to clean up the site, replace or extend the hoarding so that	appropriately secured as a building site.
	rubbish cannot be pushed onto the site. It is also time the hoardings were	Martin Cowie
	cleaned up and painted.	Assistant Director Planning and Development Management
	The Travel Lodge site should have new gates fitted and the hoardings	
	should be painted. Rubbish should also be removed.	
	Jessica Howey	
	Railway Cottages Residents' Association	
2a.	NorthWestTWO Residents' Association wishes to endorse the comments	
	made by Jessica Howey of the Railway Cottages Residents' Association	
	regarding the issues on the Barnet side of Cricklewood Broadway.	
	We are a neighbouring residents' association on the Brent side of	
	Cricklewood Broadway and joined the recent meeting and walkabout	
	when these problems were highlighted.	
	Together with the Railway Cottages Residents' Association we are	
	represented on the newly formed Cricklewood Improvement Group who	
	are holding a walkabout of Cricklewood Broadway on Saturday 16	
	October at 10 am with local residents, councillors and representatives	
	from the 3 boroughs that straddle the Broadway.	
	We have funded and installed flowering hanging baskets and kerbside	
	troughs on the Brent side of the Broadway and are working with licensing,	
	streetcare and transport as well as TFL to improve this neglected gateway	
	to London but all our efforts are rather undermined by the eyesore of	
	these two sites across the road.	
	Regards	
	Marie Hancock	
	NorthWestTWO Residents' Association	
2b.	As a resident of Cricklewood I am appalled at the horrible eyesore on the	Response to the concerns raised re alcohol-related ASB
	former Galtymore site and it's surroundings. Whenever I go into	& Public Disorder in Cricklewood (B&Q site on the
	Cricklewood Center, I get the feeling I'm living in slum, some derelict	Broadway):
	forgotten part of London that time forgot.	The Coltymore/R&O site in Crickley and her had historical
	Whilst one side of the broadway is trying to improve with regulated waste	The Galtymore/B&Q site in Cricklewood has had historical

	Issue Raised	Response
	removal and flower troughs, I can't help think Barnet does not care. Surely you are not trying to ignore this part of Cricklewood but I have not seen any move to improve except when residents get really fed up and have to force councillors to come to the area and see for themselves. I think personally it's appalling to witness along with my children, men drinking and urinating in public for all to see by B&Q. Is all this acceptable? Who knows, you are either used to this in your neighbourhood or oblivious to how this affects local residents and your constituents. Are there any plans to improve the area or is it going to stay derelict? Is this an exercise testing how long Cricklewood residents can live in this slum like existence without lifting a finger? Carol Reeman NorthWestTWO	issues with street drinking, exacerbated by a growing problem with Eastern European males drinking in the area. Over the last 18 months we have worked with the Barka organisation to engage street drinkers in treatment services and to provide a re-connection service for those wishing to return home and to access detox/rehab facilities in Poland (70+ re-connected from across Barnet). Treatment services remain voluntary and the council's Priority Intervention Team has also worked closely with police colleagues to address the issue from an enforcement perspective. In June 2010 the area was made subject to a Designated Public Place Order as a means of increasing the range of enforcement options available to police/SNTs. There will be partnership operations between the council's Priority Intervention Team, Licensing Team and the Police SNTs in addressing the issues of sales of alcohol, antisocial behaviour and street drinking in the Cricklewood area. Childs Hill SNT has advised that enforcement of the DPPO is a primary focus and this includes confiscation of any alcohol being publicly consumed in the area and directions to leave issued to those committing acts of anti social behaviour and public disorder. Michael Kelly DAAT Manager, Environment & Operations Directorate
3.	The allowance rises that Conservative councillors voted for themselves in July have been greeted with widespread outrage by residents of the borough, and unprecedent criticism by senior Conservative politicians in the government. Despite such widespread condemnation, and the partial retraction by the Leader of some of the proposed rises, I understand that eight Tory councillors who chair Committees are still going to receive massive increases in their allowances, as follows:	

Current post holder	Committee	Prev	New	Incr%		
Cllr A Tambourides	Licencing Committee	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
Cllr Alison Cornelius	Health OSC	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
Cllr Wendy Prentice	Planning Environment	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
Cllr Hugh Rayner	Bus Management OSC Sub	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
Cllr Darrel Yawitch	Budget Perf OSC	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
Cllr Brian Gordon	Policy Performance OS	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
Cllr Joan Scannell	General Functions	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
Cllr John Marshall	Pension Fund	£9,974	£15,333	54%		
a.Can you confirm that o.How many times a y		J			Confirmed Committee	Number of scheduled meetings in 2010/11
·		J			Committee Licensing Committee	meetings in 2010/11
·		J			Committee Licensing Committee Health OSC	meetings in 2010/11
·		J			Committee Licensing Committee	meetings in 2010/11 2 5
·		J			Committee Licensing Committee Health OSC Planning Environment Bus Management OSC Budget & Performance OSC	meetings in 2010/11 2 5 12 8 9
·		J			Committee Licensing Committee Health OSC Planning Environment Bus Management OSC Budget & Performance OSC Policy & Performance OSC	meetings in 2010/11 2 5 12 8 9 2
·		J			Committee Licensing Committee Health OSC Planning Environment Bus Management OSC Budget & Performance OSC Policy & Performance OSC General Functions	meetings in 2010/11 2 5 12 8 9 2 5
·		J			Committee Licensing Committee Health OSC Planning Environment Bus Management OSC Budget & Performance OSC Policy & Performance OSC	meetings in 2010/11 2 5 12 8 9 2

	Issue Raised	Response
	made to local services, the frozen pay of ordinary council workers, and the risk of redundancy to so many others, and we know that many residents of this borough will be struggling to survive in the current precarious economic climate. I therefore ask the Conservative councillor members of this Forum to explain to the residents and voters of Finchley and Golders Green how they can defend the staggeringly high allowance rise given to their privileged colleagues? Theresa Killick	
4.	Councillors' attendance at committees and Residents' Forums a.Is it the case that a councillor in receipt of an allowance, or other form of attendance renumeration, is still paid for his or her attendance even when they do not bother to attend the meeting in question? b.Is there any way in which residents can properly scrutinise the level of work and service which councillors provide in return for these allowances? Surely in these 'challenging times' such allowances should be performance related and should not be regarded merely as a gift from the local taxpayer? Theresa Killick	Members' allowances are paid for the office they hold and are not linked to attendance at meetings. The public can scrutinise this through attendance at public meetings, scrutiny of published reports of the Council meeting(s) and through direct questioning of the relevant Member(s). Furthermore, in July 2010 Council resolved 'That the recommendation of LCIP be followed for role descriptions to be developed for councillors for all their areas of work; the role descriptions to be placed on council websites; Members to report publicly on their activity through a variety of channelsand the introduction of an appraisal system for Members.' Aysen Giritli Acting Democratic Services Manager